

## Updates on the applications of flow cytometry in microbiology

Alexandra Mihaela Velican<sup>1</sup>, Luminița Maruțescu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Claude Lambert<sup>2</sup>, Mariana Carmen Chifiriuc<sup>1,3</sup><sup>1</sup>Research Institute of the University of Bucharest (ICUB), Bucharest, Romania<sup>2</sup>CHU de Saint-Etienne, France<sup>3</sup>Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Biology, University of Bucharest, Romania\*corresponding author e-mail address: [lumi.marutescu@gmail.com](mailto:lumi.marutescu@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

Flow cytometry (FCM) was first developed for medical and clinical applications such as hematology and oncology. Although these areas still account for the vast majority of publications on this technique, during the past few years it has been also introduced in other areas, such as optimization and monitoring of biotechnological and environmental processes, pharmacology, toxicology, bacteriology and virology. In the food and drinks industries, the time required for conventional microbiology tests can lead to substantial delays in product release to the market. FCM has been used in conjunction with viability markers for rapid counting of yeast, molds and bacterial cells, including foodborne pathogens and microbial contaminants, in food products as well as for monitoring and improving the final products quality. FCM is an excellent tool, still unexplored in clinical microbiology, allowing for detection of cellular and non-cellular components in different clinical specimens, the study of antimicrobial activity, allowing for rapid and direct antimicrobial susceptibility testing and for the investigation of resistance mechanisms. Recent FCM developments important for addressing questions in environmental microbiology include the study of microbial physiology under environmentally relevant conditions, the development of new methods to identify active microbial populations and to isolate previously uncultured microorganisms and of high-throughput autofluorescence bioreporter assays. Moreover, the technological advancements will make possible the miniaturisation and automation of FCM devices, allowing to revolutionize their applications in the near future. The purpose of this minireview is to update the current applications of FCM in different fields of applied microbiology, and to highlight the main advantages and pitfalls for each of them.

**Keywords:** *flow cytometry, food microbiology, microbial cells detection, antimicrobial susceptibility, antibiotic resistance.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Flow cytometry (FCM) provides rapid analysis of multiple characteristics of single cells. The acquired FCM data is both qualitative and quantitative. The analyzed characteristics include cell size, cytoplasmic complexity, DNA or RNA content, and a wide range of membrane-bound and intracellular proteins [1].

In the past FCM instruments were big and complex equipment that were found only in academic centers. Advances in technology make it possible for hospitals to employ them in their current diagnostic instruments. Nowadays, FCM machines are smaller, cheaper, more user-friendly and more adequate for high volume operations.

In clinical settings, FCM is applied for the analysis of different specimens, i.e. blood, bone marrow, serous cavity fluids, cerebrospinal fluid, urine and solid tissues. FCM was first developed for medical and clinical applications such as hematology and oncology. Although these areas still account for the vast majority of publications on this technique, during the past few years it has been introduced in other areas, such as bioprocess monitoring, pharmacology, toxicology, environmental sciences, bacteriology and virology [2]. Currently, the high-throughput multi-parameter FCM is exploited to obtain single cell data and use them for understanding and optimization of biotechnological and environmental processes.

## 2. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

FCM is the measurement (-metry) of cellular (cyto-) properties (physical or chemical) as they are moving in a fluid stream (flow) through a stationary set of detectors. FCM measures optical and fluorescence characteristics of single cells (or any other particle, including nuclei, microorganisms, chromosome preparations, and latex beads). Physical properties, such as size (represented by forwarding angle light scatter) and internal complexity (represented by right-angle scatter) can be used for detecting certain cell populations. Fluorescent dyes may bind or intercalate with different cellular components such as DNA or RNA. Additionally, antibodies conjugated to fluorescent dyes can bind specific proteins on cell membranes or inside cells. When labeled cells are passed by a light source, the fluorescent

molecules are excited to a higher energy state [3, 4]. Upon returning to their resting states, the fluorochromes emit light energy at higher wavelengths. The use of multiple fluorochromes (table 1), each with similar excitation wavelengths and different emission wavelengths (or "colors"), allows several cell properties to be measured simultaneously. Commonly used dyes include propidium iodide, phycoerythrin, and fluorescein, although many other dyes are available. Tandem dyes with internal fluorescence resonance energy transfer can create even longer wavelengths and more [5, 6, 7].

Inside a FCM instrument, cells in suspension are drawn into a stream developed by a surrounding fluid sheath that determines laminar flow. Cells or particles are passing

individually through an interrogation point where a beam of monochromatic light, usually from a laser, intersects the cells. The emitted light is scattered in all directions and is collected by the optic components that direct the light to a series of filters and

dichroic mirrors that isolate particular wavelength bands. The light signals are detected by photomultiplier tubes and digitized for computer analysis [1, 4]. The resulting information usually is displayed in histogram or two-dimensional dot-plot formats [1].

### 3. FCM APPLICATIONS IN MICROBIOLOGY

Throughout the last decade, a growing number of papers describing various applications of FCM in the field of microbiology have been published and a book devoted to the subject has been recently published, [8]. FCM is a potentially valuable analytical method in microbiology. Unlike culture base techniques which involve the study of microbial populations, FCM offers the possibility to rapidly analyze large numbers of individual microorganisms by several parameters, been able to detect and quantify differences between populations. Culture base techniques used for the analysis of microbiological samples are time consuming; they are not able to detect non-culturable microorganisms and they do not provide real-time information on the physiological status of the organism *in situ* [9].

FCM is largely used in food industry for optimization and monitoring of microbial industrial processes [10, 11], for the

detection of microbial contaminants in food products [12] and also it has applications in environmental research [13]. But although in clinical laboratories the application of the flow cytometric technique is well established in hematology and the use of this method in routine clinical microbiology is still rare [14].

Currently, there are a range of biological reagents kits restricted to simple analyses, for example to assay the total or viable numbers of microorganisms present. But, there are also technologies available to selectively label specific types of microorganisms. For example, fluorochrome-conjugated antibodies can be used to label microorganisms according to expression of particular antigens, fluorescent *in situ* hybridization to label according to phylogeny and fluorogenic enzymatic substrates to label according to the expression of specific enzyme activities [9].

**Tabel 1.** Some of the fluorescent molecules used to study microorganisms by flow cytometry (modified from., [15, 16].

Dye	Substrate	Applications
<i>SYTOX Green</i>	DNA-RNA	<b>Viability, DNA quantification</b>
<i>Propidium Iodide (PI)</i>	DNA-RNA	<b>Viability, DNA quantification, Cell cycle studies</b>
<i>Ethidium bromide</i>	DNA-RNA	<b>DNA quantification, Cell cycle studies</b>
<i>SYTO 13</i>	DNA-RNA	<b>Viability, DNA quantification, Cell cycle studies</b>
<i>Hoechst 33258/33342</i>	DNA (GC pairs)	<b>Cell cycle studies</b>
<i>Mithramycin</i>	DNA	
<i>Pyronine Y</i>	RNA	<b>RNA quantification</b>
<i>Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)</i>	Proteins	
<i>Texas Red</i>	Proteins	
<i>Oregon Green Isothiocyanate</i>	Proteins	<b>Microbe detection</b>
<i>Antibodies labeled with flurochromes</i>	Antigens	
<i>Fluorescently labeled oligonucleotides</i>	Nucleotide sequences	
<i>Indo-1</i>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	
<i>Fura-2</i>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	<b>Ca<sup>2+</sup> mobilization</b>
<i>Fluor-3</i>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	
<i>BCECF</i>	pH	<b>Metabolic variations</b>
<i>SNARF-1</i>	pH	
<i>DIOC6(3)</i>	Membrane potential	
<i>Oxonol [DiBAC4(3)]</i>	Membrane potential	<b>Metabolic variations</b>
<i>Rhodamine 123</i>	Membrane potential	
<i>Lectins</i>	Membrane oligosaccharides	<b>Cell wall composition, Microbe detection</b>
<i>Substrates linked to fluorochromes</i>	Enzyme activities	<b>Metabolic activity</b>
<i>Nile Red</i>	Lipids	
<i>Red Fun-1</i>	Vacuolar enzyme activity	<b>Yeast metabolic state</b>
<i>Calcofluor white</i>	Chitin and other carbohydrate polymers	<b>Fungal detection</b>

### 4. FCM IN FOOD INDUSTRY

FCM is an analysis tool of great importance in food development and food spoilage. It offers several potential advantages as a method for the detection of bacteria in food samples. FCM is rapid, can be done quantitatively, can be automated and produces real time as well as archival data, all of

which offer important information for rapid detection systems as well as critical control point monitoring. In addition, FCM equipped with cell sorting capability can be used to isolate cells or bacteria of interest for further analysis or confirmatory culture [17].

**Brewing.**

The brewing industry has long had an interest in applying FCM to the microbiology of the brewing process. The measurement of the DNA content of yeast cells was one of the first major applications of flow cytometry in brewing [19, 20, 21, 22] and is still one of the main applications of this method in this field. Yeasts are widely used to produce commercially important compounds such as pharmaceutical agents, enzymes for food industry, bioethanol, and chemicals, including organic acids. Stress factors like nutrient deficiency and extracellular acidification can affect the physiology of the yeast cells and thus the industrial fermentation yield. pH<sub>i</sub> is a crucial determinant of the overall physiology of *S. cerevisiae* cells and affects product synthesis and quality. FCM was used to monitor the kinetics of the pH homeostasis of *S. cerevisiae* cultures grown to a stationary phase in different growth conditions. Populations with pH homeostasis behaving differently after ethanol or glucose addition and after exposure to weak acid stress and glucose pulse were identified [23]. The DNA content of yeast cells provides information about the cell cycle and is thus a powerful tool to assess the effects of propagation parameters on the yeast cell cycle and yeast physiology. FCM assays were developed for assessing the physiological status of the yeast cells during fermentation process [24, 25]), for determination of yeast viability and cell number in a brewery [26-32], predictions of flocculation ability of brewer yeasts, separation of the prototroph yeast fusants [33], assessment of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* vitality [34, 35, 36], detection of spoilage microorganisms [24, 37], the influence of beer process conditions on beer stability [38], identification of haploid strains of industrial brewer's yeast, fermentation process control [20, 39, 40]. The use of FCM for age assessment of a yeast population and its application in beer fermentation was reviewed in the publication [41].

**Winery.**

Because it is rapid and easy to use, FCM can be considered a useful method for microbiological quality control in wineries and for the investigation of the growth dynamics of microorganisms in wine [42, 43, 44, 45]. The density and viability of the yeast inoculum are important factors for the fermentation process. These parameters have been measured using methylene blue staining of the yeast population, hemacytometer, microscope and culture based methods but these assays are time consuming and subject to error. FCM provides a rapid and accurate means to monitor the density and viability of yeast throughout the fermentation process and thus the fermentation process becomes transparent [18, 28, 42, 43, 44, 45]), as well as to detect the presence of spoilage organisms such as *Zygosaccharomyces*, *Dekkera* (*Brettanomyces*) and *Lactobacillus* [46, 47].

By monitoring the physiological state of *O. oeni* (measurements of intracellular pH, transmembrane potential and vitality,[30] concluded that FCM could be used to better control the malolactic fermentation. FCM was also applied in the monitoring of the viability and membrane damage of oenological strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* along with the malic acid consumption in media containing ethanol prior to wine inoculation. The results have shown a significant decrease of membrane damage and improvement of strain viability in the harsh wine conditions [48]. FCM was employed also for investigation of Viable But Non Culturable (VBNC) state

following *S. cerevisiae* SO<sub>2</sub> exposure. The results indicated that the yeast cells can survive in a VBNC state in synthetic wine for up to one month, suggesting that the use of sulfite for stabilizing different beverages should be assessed using other methods than plating methods [49].

**Dairy products.**

FCM appears as a very promising tool for the analysis of raw milk, starter culture and final products in the dairy industry. Current uses of FCM in dairy industry are including: characterization of cellular states in stress conditions (acidic, oxidative, osmotic, cold stress) [50], study of population dynamics in batch cultures, study of bacterial fitness to help optimizing processes, early detection of bacteriophages, membrane changes during cheese cooking, evaluation of antimicrobial effects of bacteriocins, enumeration of viable probiotics in commercial preparations, controlling foods organoleptic properties [19, 51, 52]. FCM technique was introduced 10 years ago in dairy industry for the evaluation of somatic cell load and total bacteria count in raw milk, which has revolutionized the grading of raw milk, producing rapid and accurate results [53, 54].

For probiotic products, it is important to ensure the presence of sufficient numbers of viable cells that will bring about beneficial health effects [52, 55, 56, 57]. A specific FCM method was developed to enumerate viable *Bifidobacteria* in commercial products by using double staining of antibody and viability probe [58].

**Backery.**

In backery, FCM is used for the development of new *Saccharomyces* strains. For example it was applied for selection of rare mating hybrids produced between an industrial baker's yeast strain and a laboratory yeast strain [59]. Investigations regarding the influence of extracellular acidification on cell physiology have shown stationary cells are better able to maintain their pH<sub>i</sub> homeostasis independently of different extracellular pH values [60]. FCM enables pH<sub>i</sub> assessment with not only high sensitivity but also extraordinarily high speed in data acquisition and processing [23].

**Biomass assay.**

FCM proved to accurately estimate biomass dry weight in *Chlorella vulgaris* and *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* cultures containing very few algal cells i.e. laboratory studies and high throughput assays in microwell plates. Also, the study indicates that the FCM data could complement the optical density assays for improvement of the accuracy in biomass estimation [61].

**Food safety.**

Contamination of foods including fresh and processed meat with microbial pathogens has been responsible for disease outbreaks with major human and economic consequences. The detection of specific pathogenic bacteria within meats (and eggs), namely *Salmonella* spp., *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Campylobacter* spp. [62], is an important focus in the development of hazard analysis critical control point (HACCP) procedures for meat processing [17]. Food safety regulators, food producers, distributors, and retailers need effective microbiological methods with improved sensitivity, specificity, and speed for quality control purposes to eliminate or reduce product contamination by foodborne pathogens (American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), 2012). While traditional

microbiological methods have worked well for decades to detect and stop pathogen contamination in food, the speed of modern food production practices requires dramatic improvements in speed and accuracy of microbiological methods to assure the same safety standards [63].

Food-related applications of FCM include detection and enumeration of meat spoilage microorganisms [17, 64, 65, 66, 67].

The reproducibility of the results and the proven correlation with standard plate count method obtained in industrial conditions make FCM a good predictive method for product and process quality control [68].

However, in case of the presence of low levels of microorganisms in food samples, the detection can still take 18–20 h to obtain and the spoilage organism has to be disaggregated from the meat surface which, with some organisms forming a glycocalyx layer, is necessarily difficult [69].

FCM has been used for detection of pathogens and for bacteriological quality control of milk [71, 72, 73, 74] and the physiological functions of the bacterial cells in pineapple and mango juice [70]. A Gram-staining technique combining staining with two fluorescent stains, Oregon Green-conjugated wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) and hexidium iodide (HI) followed by flow-cytometric detection were described. A clear differentiation between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial populations in bulk tank milk was possible, thus the FCM can be used to analyze milk samples without precultivation [75]. The identification of pathogens by microsphere immunoassays using FCM offers the specificity provided by antibodies coupled with the speed and multiparametric analysis provided by FCM [15]. An example is the simultaneous identification of antibodies against *Brucella abortus* and *S. aureus* in milk samples. The FCM

appears to be useful for large-scale brucellosis eradication programs. It offers the possibility of using one test to identify animals that are serologically positive for both *B. abortus* and *S. aureus* [76].

Biofilms represent an important problem in food industry, with food contamination, equipment failure, and public health aspects to consider. A recent application of FCM in food industry is the study of microbial biofilm developed on stainless steel surfaces. FCM was used for demonstration of the possibility of plasmid transfer in dual-species biofilms formed of *Pseudomonas putida*, as a model for food spoilage organisms and *Escherichia coli*, as a model for pathogenic organisms, thus highlighting the importance of biofilms in the food industry as hot spots for the acquisition of multiresistance plasmids [77].

A variety of rapid methods for detecting *E. coli* O157:H7 in food have been developed to augment or enhance conventional methods. Some of these are based on bioluminescence, FCM, immunology (e.g., enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay ELISA), fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH), and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (Q-PCR) [78].

In a validation study, for detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 in raw spinach the FCM method was equal to the bacteriological analytical method in sensitivity and far superior in speed [79]. A recently developed diagnostic tool is RAPID-B, which combines FCM, enhanced detection techniques and background reduction that functions accurately to provide results that are as precise as culture plates but that require substantially less time [62].

Studies have shown that RAPID-B can be used for rapid, economical, and stable detection of *E. coli* O157:H7 and *Shigella* contamination in the food industry [80,81].

## 5. FCM APPLICATIONS IN AQUATIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL MICROBIOLOGY

F. JOUX & P.LEBARON, [82], forecasted the development of FCM methods that would utilize combinations of physiological and taxonomic probes to detect and characterize target cells. Recent FCM developments important for addressing questions in environmental microbiology include: (i) the study of microbial physiology under environmentally relevant conditions, (ii) new methods to identify active microbial populations and to isolate previously uncultured microorganisms, and (iii) the development of high-throughput autofluorescence bioreporter assays [83].

Technical advances have simplified FCM instrument handling, improved cell sorting capabilities, and brought in multiparametric measurements, thus implying this prediction is rapidly fulfilling. Using two to three different fluorescent dyes that target specific biomolecules and physiological processes are possible to characterize microbial communities, in terms of physiological states of cells, their taxonomic positions, and expressed gene functions. Because of the multiparametric measurements, these features can be assessed simultaneously, and in the end target cells can be separated and isolated for further study [82].

Abundant populations of marine free-living prochlorophytes were first identified in water samples from the North Atlantic and Pacific with the help of FCM [83]. Since then, many other researchers have reported the presence of this group of

prokaryotic picoplankton in the euphotic layer of the world's tropical and temperate oceans [84]. After isolation, culture, and genetic and ecophysiological characterization, they were named *Prochlorococcus marinus* [85]. FCM has been essential in the discovery of this organism [84].in the realization of its ubiquitous presence in the ocean [86, 87] and in the assignment of its quantitative role as a primary producer in different geographical locations. This was due to the unmatched capacity of FCM to analyse small cells in terms of sensitivity and time, and to the fact that both *Synechococcus* and *Prochlorococcus* showed a very characteristic signature when analyzed by FCM. Initially, it was used to discriminate and enumerate the different populations of phytoplankton, eukaryotic and prokaryotic autotrophs on the basis of their distinct pigments [88] and of heterotrophic bacteria [89] and viruses [90] using a variety of fluorescent dyes.

Distinction between different physiological states is possible by utilizing FCM in conjunction with fluorescent dyes that measure biological parameters such as nucleic acid content, respiration rates, intracellularly enzyme activity, and cytoplasmic and outer membrane integrity. Such differentiations in physiological activity are not well addressed by conventional plating techniques [82]. FCM techniques allowed a functional classification of the physiological state of microorganisms based on the presence or absence of an intact fully polarized cytoplasmic membrane and the transport systems across it, thus enabling

assessment of population heterogeneity. These techniques have been applied to characterize microbial cells exposed to various environmental conditions, including various pollutants [9192, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99], or water disinfection treatments [100] and quantify microbial growth in oligotrophic environments [101].

The independence from cultivation makes FCM an appealing technique for fast detection of the viability of pathogenic bacteria in the viable but nonculturable state, which can be present in the aquatic environment but not detectable by conventional methods. The wide distribution of aeromonads in different aquatic ecosystems underlines their capacity to adapt to environments with different trophic levels. Several studies have shown that the phenospecies *Aeromonas hydrophila* is prevalent in cleaner water, whereas *Aeromonas caviae* is prevalent in water with a high level of fecal pollution; the frequencies of the other phenospecies appear to be unrelated to environmental conditions. SYBR Green I coupled with propidium iodide allowed the assessment of the bacterial viability in water. FCM provided rapid direct cells counting in water samples without the need of cultivation, and therefore sample handling and possible contamination due to sample handling were reduced. Thus, FCM allowed the original characteristics of the water samples to be analyzed [102].

*Legionella* is a pathogenic bacterium that establishes and proliferates well in water storage and distribution systems. Worldwide it is responsible for numerous outbreaks of legionellosis, which can be fatal. Despite recent advances in molecular and immunological methods, the official, internationally accepted detection method for *Legionella* spp. in water samples (ISO 11371) is still based on cultivation. In a comprehensive comparative trial, 85 tap water samples were tested with both the FCM approach and the standard culture method [103]. No correlation and low strength of agreement was observed between culture and FCM results. In approximately 50% of the samples, FCM showed higher concentrations than culture (difference >0.5 log), but in 20% of samples, higher plate counts were observed. These were attributed to the major draw-backs of the current approach—the polyclonal antibodies targeting only the

serogroups 1-12 and the inability to detect *L. pneumophila* in amoebal cells. The application of monoclonal antibodies specifically targeted to naturally grown pathogens and the availability of *Legionella* specific antibody-coated microbeads for immuno-magnetic separation (IMS) may significantly improve and simplify the procedure [103, 104]. Advances in the availability of suitable *Legionella* spp. or *L. pneumophila* specific monoclonal antibodies, optimally targeting epitopes of proteins or lipopolysaccharides that are well expressed in the VBNC state, and the combination of more than one viability assay, will significantly increase the potential of FCM for routine determination of *Legionella* concentrations in tap water samples [105].

Monitoring microbial dynamics in engineered and environmental aquatic ecosystems is a key step toward a better understanding of the driving forces and consequences of changes in bacterial concentrations and community composition [106]. Studies have indicated that automated *in situ* FCM analysis is feasible for the investigation of dynamic aquatic ecosystems at high temporal resolution during multiple days. Automated FCM allows for the combination of microbial data at a high temporal resolution with conventional online parameters, thus expanding the horizon toward a complete sensing approach., [106].

An excellent study demonstrating the dynamics of microbial growth at very low substrate concentrations in drinking water systems was performed by [107]. The researchers used a FCM approach to analyze total cell number in water samples from a drinking water pilot plant using SYBR1 Green staining. The instrument quantified cell numbers as low as 1000 cells/ml and highlighted cell growth or decline across different unit processes in drinking water treatment facilities [107]. FCM assessment of bacterial abundance is now routinely used for water samples [108, 109], but, it is also attractive to determine bacterial cell abundance in a range of other environments. Recently, FCM proved to be a useful approach for determining bacterial abundances in soils, sediments and sludge [110].

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

FCM is rapidly becoming an essential tool in industrial biotechnology, food and pharmaceutical quality control, routine monitoring of drinking water and wastewater systems, and microbial ecological research in soils and natural aquatic habitats. FCM allows to distinguish between viable and dead cells using

multiparametric data acquisition, analysis and cell sorting which is of great importance in food development and food spoilage. On the other side, the technological advancements will make possible the miniaturisation and automation of FCM devices, allowing to revolutionize their applications in the near future.

## 7. REFERENCES

1. Brown, M.; Wittwer, C. Flow cytometry: principles and clinical applications in hematology. *Clin Chem.* **2000**, *46*, 1221-9.
2. Comas-Riu, J.; Rius, N. Flow cytometry applications in the food industry. *J Ind Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *36*, 999–1011, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-009-0608-x>.
3. Orfao, A.; Ruiz-Arguelles, A.; Lacombe, F.; Ault, K.; Basso, G.; Danova, M. Flow cytometry: its applications in hematology. *Haematologica* **1995**, *80*, 69-81.
4. Shapiro, H.M. Practical flow cytometry. Wiley-Liss, Inc: New York, **1995**; pp. 128-165.
5. Recktenwald, D.J. Introduction to flow cytometry: principles, fluorochromes, instrument set-up, calibration. *J Hematother* **1993**, *2*, 387-394, <https://doi.org/10.1089/scd.1.1993.2.387>.
6. Watson, J.V. The early fluidic and optical physics of cytometry. *Cytometry.* **1999**, *15*, 2-14.
7. Mandy, F.F.; Bergeron, M.; Minkus, T. Principles of flow cytometry. *Transfus Sci.* **1995**, *16*, 303-314.
8. Wilkinson, M.G. Flow cytometry in Microbiology: technology and applications. Caister Academic Press, **2015**, pp.1-217.



9. Veal, D.A.; Deere, D.; Ferrari, B.; Piper, J.; Attfield, P.V. Fluorescence staining and flow cytometry for monitoring microbial cells. *J Immunol. Methods*. **2000**, *243*, 191-210, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1759\(00\)00234-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1759(00)00234-9).
10. Nebe-von-Caron, G.; Stephens, P.J.; Hewitt, C.J.; Powell, J.R.; Badley, R.A. Analysis of bacterial function by multi-colour fluorescence flow cytometry and single cell sorting. *J Microbiol. Methods* **2000**, *42*, 97-114, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012\(00\)00181-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(00)00181-0).
11. Rosebrock A.P.; Analysis of the Budding Yeast Cell Cycle by Flow Cytometry, *Cold Spring Harb Protoc*. **2017**, *1*, <https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot088740>
12. Laplace-Builhe, C.; Hahne, K.; Hunger, W.; Tirilly, Y.; Drocourt, J.L. Application of flow cytometry to rapid microbial analysis in food and drinks industries. *Biol Cell* **1993**, *78*, 123-128, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0248-4900\(93\)90122-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0248-4900(93)90122-U).
13. Sgier, L.; Merbt SN.; Tlili, A.; Kroll, A.; Zupanic, A. Characterization of Aquatic Biofilms with Flow Cytometry, *J Vis Exp*. **2018**, *136*: e57655. <https://doi:10.3791/57655>.
14. Nuding, S.; Zabel, L.T. Detection, identification, and susceptibility testing of bacteria by flow cytometry. *J Bacteriol. Parasitol* **2013**, *5*-005.
15. Alvarez-Barrientos, A.; Arroyo, J.; Cantòn, R.; Nombela, C.; Sánchez-Pérez, M. Applications of Flow Cytometry to Clinical Microbiology. *Cl. Microbiol.Rev.* **2000**, *13*, 167–195.
16. Pieretti, B.; Masucci, A.; Moretti, M. Applications of Flow Cytometry to clinical microbiology. In *Clinical flow cytometry - emerging applications*, M.Sc. Ingrid Schmid (Ed.), InTech, **2012**, <https://doi.org/10.5772/37325>.
17. Tortorello, M.L.; Stewart, D.S.; Raybourne, R.B. Quantitative analysis and isolation of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in a food matrix using flow cytometry and cell sorting. *FEMS Immunology and Medical Microbiology* **1998**, *19*, 267-274.
18. Login, C.; Petitgonnet, C.; Guilloux-Benatier M.; Rousseaux S.; Alexandre H. Application of flow cytometry to wine microorganisms. *Food Microbiol.* **2017**, *62*:221-231. <https://doi:10.1016/j.fm.2016.10.023>.
19. Wilkinson, M.G.; Flow cytometry as a potential method of measuring bacterial viability in probiotic products: A review. *Trends in Food Science & Technolog.* **2018**. *78*:1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2018.05.006>
20. Lopes da Silva, T.; Santo, R.; Reis, A.; Passarinho, P.C.; Effect of Furfural on *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis* Growth, Physiology and Ethanol Production. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol.* **2017**, *182*(2):708-720. <https://doi:10.1007/s12010-016-2356-5>.
21. Lopes da Silva, T.; Baptista, C.; Reis A.; Passarinho, P.C.; Using Flow Cytometry to Evaluate the Stress Physiological Response of the Yeast *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis* ATCC 6269 to the Presence of 5-Hydroxymethylfurfural During Ethanol Fermentations. *Appl Biochem Biotechnol.* **2017**, *181*(3):1096-1107. <https://10.1007/s12010-016-2271-9>
22. Hutter, K.J.; Lindemann, B.; Hafner, M. Biomonitoring of working yeasts in practice by the fluorescence optical method. Second notification: Transparency in cylindroconical fermentation. *Brauwissenschaft* **1996**, *49*, 104-110.
23. Valkonenc, M.; Mojzicac, D.; Penttiläca, M. Noninvasive High-Throughput single-cell analysis of the intracellular pH of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by ratiometric flow cytometry. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2013**, *79*, 7179-7187, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02515-13>.
24. Jespersen, L.; Lasses, S.; Jakobsen, M. Flow cytometric detection of wild yeast in larger breweries. *Inn J. Food Microbiol.* **1993**, *17*, 321-328, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605\(93\)90202-R](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(93)90202-R).
25. Jespersen, L. Use of flow cytometry for rapid estimation of intracellular events in brewing yeasts. *J.Inst. Brew.* **1994**, *100*, 399-403, <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2050-0416.1994.tb00837.x>.
26. Trevors, J.; Merrick, R.; Russell, R.; Stewart, G. A comparison of methods for assessing yeast viability. *Biotechnology Letters* **1983**, *5*, 131-134, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00132172>.
27. Steudler, S.; Böhmer, U.; Weber, J.; Bley, T. Biomass measurement by flow cytometry during solid-state fermentation of basidiomycetes. *Cytometry A*, **2014.**, *87*(2),176–188. <https://doi:10.1002/cyto.a.22592>
28. Freitas, C.; Nunes, E.; Passarinho, P. C.; Reis, A.; Lopes da Silva, T. Use of multi-parameter flow cytometry as tool to monitor the impact of formic acid on *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis* batch ethanol fermentations. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2013**, *169*, 2038–2048. <https://doi:10.1007/s12010-012-0055-4>
29. Freitas, C., Nunes, E., Passarinho, P. C., Reis, A., Lopes da Silva, T. Effect of acetic acid on *Saccharomyces carlsbergensis* ATCC 6269 batch ethanol production monitored by flow cytometry., *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol*, 2012, *168*, 1501–1015. <https://doi:10.1007/s12010-012-9873-7>
30. Bouix, M.; Ghorbal, S. Rapid enumeration of *Oenococcus oeni* during malolactic fermentation by flow cytometry. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2013**, *114*, 1075-1081, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12117>.
31. Fernandes, R.L., Carlquist, M., Lundin, L., Heins, A.L., Dutta, A., Sørensen, S.J., Jensen, A.D., Nopens, I., Lantz, A.E., Gernaey, K.V. Cell mass and cell cycle dynamics of an asynchronous budding yeast population: experimental observations, flow cytometry data analysis, and multi-scale modeling. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2013**, *110*, 812e826, <https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.24749>
32. Nicholson, M.; Pearson, B. Variables influencing viability of brewer's yeast. *Fungi*, **2014**, *7*.
33. Urano, N.; Masahiro, N.; Hirohisa, S.; Shohei, K. The use of flow cytometry and small-scale brewing in protoplast fusion: Exclusion of undesired phenotypes in yeasts. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology* **1994**, *16*, 839-843, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-0229\(94\)90057-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0141-0229(94)90057-4).
34. Chan, L.L.; Kury, A.; Wilkinson, A.; Berkes, C.; Pirani, A. Novel image cytometric method for detection of physiological and metabolic changes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol.* **2012**, *39*(11):1615-23. <https://doi:10.1007/s10295-012-1177-y>.
35. Weigert, C.; Steffler, F.; Kurz, T.; Shellhammer, T.; Methner, F.J. Application of a Short Intracellular pH Method to Flow Cytometry for Determining *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Vitality. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2009**, *75*, 5615-5620, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00650-09>.
36. Novak, J.; Basarova, G.; Teixeira, J.A.; Vicente, A.A. Monitoring of brewing yeast propagation under aerobic and anaerobic conditions employing flow cytometry. *Journal of the Institute of Brewing* **2007**, *113*, 249–255, <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2050-0416.2007.tb00284.x>.
37. Juvonen, R.; Haikara, A. Amplification facilitators and pre-processing methods for PCR detection of strictly anaerobic beer-spoilage bacteria of the class clostridia in brewery samples. *J. Inst. Brew.* **2009**, *115*, 167–176, <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2050-0416.2009.tb00365.x>.
38. Chlup, P.H.; Conery, J.; Stewart, G.G. Detection of Mannan from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by Flow Cytometry. *J. Am. Soc. Brew. Chem.* **2007**, *65*, 151-156, <https://doi.org/10.1094/ASBCJ-2007-0620-01>.
39. Hutter, K.J. Flow Cytometry—A New Tool for Direct Control of Fermentation Processes. *J. Instit. Brewing* **2002**, *108*, 48–51, <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2050-0416.2002.tb00122.x>.
40. Storgårds, E.; Haikara, A.; Juvonen, R. Brewing Control Systems; Microbiological Analysis. In: *Brewing. New*

- technologies, C. Bamforth, Ed., C. W. Woodhead Publishing Limited: Cambridge, 2006, pp. 391-426, <https://doi.org/10.1533/9781845691738.391>.
41. Kurec, M.; Baszczyński, M.; Lehnert, R.; Mota, A.; Teixeira, J.A.; Brányik, T. Flow Cytometry for age assessment of a yeast population and its application in beer fermentations. *J. Inst. Brew.* **2009**, *115*, 253–258, <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2050-0416.2009.tb00377.x>.
42. Breeuwer, P.; Abee, T. Assessment of viability of microorganisms employing fluorescence techniques. *Int J Food Microbiol.* **2000**, *55*, 193–200, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(00\)00163-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(00)00163-X).
43. Bruetschy, A.; Laurent, M.; Jacquet, R. Use of flow cytometry in oenology to analyse yeasts. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **1994**, *18*, 343–345, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.1994.tb00885.x>.
44. Farthing, J.B.; Rodriguez, S.B.; Thornton, R.J. Flow cytometric analysis of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* populations in high-sugar Chardonnay fermentations. *J. Sci Food Agric.* **2007**, *87*, 527–533, <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.2752>.
45. Malacrino, P.; Zapparoli, G.; Torriani, S.; Dellaglio, F. Rapid detection of viable yeasts and bacteria in wine by flow cytometry. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* **2001**, *45*, 127–134, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012\(01\)00243-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(01)00243-3).
46. Serpaggi, V.; Remize, F.; Sequeira-Le Grand, A.; Alexander, H. Specific identification and quantification of the spoilage microorganism *Brettanomyces* in wine by flow cytometry: A useful tool for winemakers. *Cytometry Part A* **2010**, *77*, 497–499, <https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.20861>.
47. Capozzi, V.; Di Toro, M.R.; Grieco, F.; Michelotti, V.; Salma, M.; Lamontanara, A.; Russo, P.; Orrù, L.; Alexandre, H.; Spano, G. Viable But Not Culturable (VBNC) state of *Brettanomyces bruxellensis* in wine: New insights on molecular basis of VBNC behaviour using a transcriptomic approach. *Food Microbiol.* **2016**, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.06.007>.
48. Bravo-Ferrada, B.M.; Tymczynsyn, E.E.; Gómez-Zavaglia, A.; Semorile, L. Effect of acclimation medium on cell viability, membrane integrity and ability to consume malic acid in synthetic wine by oenological *Lactobacillus plantarum* strains. *J Appl Microbiol.* **2014**, <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.12372>.
49. Salma, M.; Rousseaux, S.; Sequeira-Le Grand, A.; Divol, B.; Alexandre, H. Characterization of the viable but nonculturable (VBNC) state in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *PLoS One* **2013**, *29*, 77600, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0077600>.
50. Zotta, T.; Parente, E.; Ricciardi, A. Viability staining and detection of metabolic activity of sourdough lactic acid bacteria under stress conditions. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol.* **2009**, *25*, 1119–1124, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-009-9972-y>.
51. Kramer, M.; Obermajer, N.; Matijasic, B.B.; Rogeli, I.; Kmetec, V. Quantification of live and dead probiotic bacteria in lyophilised product by real-time PCR and by flow cytometry. *Appl. Microbiol Biotechnol* **2009**, *84*, 1137–1147, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2068-7>.
52. Sohler, D.; Pavan, S.; Riou, A.; Combrisson, J.; Postollec, F. Evolution of microbiological analytical methods for dairy industry needs. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **2014**, *5*, 16, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00016>.
53. Gunasekera, T.S.; Veal, D.A.; Attfield, P.V. Potential for broad applications of flow cytometry and fluorescence techniques in microbiological and somatic cell analyses of milk. *Int J. Food Microbiol.* **2003**, *85*, 269–279, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(02\)00546-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(02)00546-9).
54. Karimi, R.; Mortazavian, A.M.; Amiri-Rigi, A. Selective enumeration of probiotic microorganisms in cheese. *Food Microbiol.* **2012**, *29*, 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2011.08.008>.
55. He, S.; Hong, X.; Huang, T.; Zhang, W.; Zhou, Y.; Wu, L.; Yan, X. Rapid quantification of live/dead lactic acid bacteria in probiotic products using high-sensitivity flow cytometry. *Methods Appl Fluoresc.* **2017**, *5(2)*:024002. <https://doi.org/10.1088/2050-6120/aa64e4>.
56. Bunthof, C.J.; Abee, T. Development of a Flow Cytometric Method To Analyze Subpopulations of Bacteria in Probiotic Products and Dairy Starters. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol* **2002**, *68*, 2934–2942, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1128%2FAEM.68.6.2934-2942.2002>.
57. Bunthof, C.J.; Bloemen, K.; Breeuwer, P.; Rombouts, F.M.; Abee, T. Flow cytometric assessment of viability of lactic acid bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2001**, *67*, 2326–2335, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1128%2FAEM.67.5.2326-2335.2001>.
58. Geng, J.; Chiron, C.; Combrisson, J. Rapid and specific enumeration of viable *Bifidobacteria* in dairy products based on flow cytometry technology: A proof of concept study. *Int. Dairy J.* **2014**, *37*, 1–4, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idairyj.2014.02.002>.
59. Bell, P.J.L.; Deere, D.; Shen, J.; Chapman, B.; Bissinger, P.H.; Attfield, P.V.; Veal, D.A. A Flow cytometric method for rapid selection of novel industrial yeast hybrids. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1998**, *64*, 1669–1672.
60. Valli, M.; Sauer, M.; Branduardi, P.; Borth, N.; Porro, D.; Mattanovich, D. Intracellular pH distribution in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell populations, analyzed by flow cytometry. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **2004**, *71*, 1515–1521, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.3.1515-1521.2005>.
61. Chioccioli, M.; Hankamer, B.; Ross, I.L. Flow Cytometry pulse width data enables rapid and sensitive estimation of biomass dry weight in the microalgae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and *Chlorella vulgaris*. *Plos one* **2014**, *9*, 1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097269>.
62. Ellis, D.I.; Goodacre, R. Rapid and quantitative detection of the microbial spoilage of muscle foods: current status and future trends. *Trends in Food Science & Technology* **2001**, *12*, 414–42, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244\(02\)00019-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0924-2244(02)00019-5).
63. Buzatu, D.A.; Moskal, T.J.; Williams, A.J.; Cooper, W.A.; Mattes, W.B.; Wilkes, J.G. An integrated flow cytometry-based system for real-time, high sensitivity bacterial detection and identification. *Plos One* **2014**, *9*, 1–10, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0094254>.
64. Patchett, R.A.; Back, J.P.; Pinder, A.C.; Kroll, R.G. Enumeration of bacteria in pure cultures and in foods using a commercial flow cytometer. *Food Microbiol.* **1991**, *8*, 119–125, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0740-0020\(91\)90004-L](https://doi.org/10.1016/0740-0020(91)90004-L).
65. Ueckert, J.; Breeuwer, P.; Abee, T.; Stephens, P.; Von Caron, G.N.; ter Stee, P.F. Flow cytometry applications in physiological study and detection of food borne microorganisms. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **1995**, *28*, 317–326, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605\(95\)00066-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-1605(95)00066-6).
66. Jericho, K.W.; Kozub, G.C.; Loewan, K.G.; Ho, J. Comparison of methods to determine the microbiological contamination of surfaces of beef carcasses by hydrophobic grid membrane filters, standard pour plates or flow cytometry. *Food Microbiol* **1996**, *13*, 303–309, <https://doi.org/10.1006/fmic.1996.0036>.
67. Duffy, G.; Sheridan, J.J. Viability staining in a direct count rapid method for the determination of total viable counts on processed meats. *J. Microbiol. Meth.* **1998**, *31*, 167–174, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012\(97\)00099-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-7012(97)00099-7).
68. Lan, W.J.; Lin, Y.M.; Men, ZH.; Yan, L. Surface-decorated *S. cerevisiae* for flow cytometric array immunoassay. *Anal Bioanal Chem.* **2017**, *409(22)*:5259–5267. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-017-0470>
69. Rattanasomboon, N.; Bellara, S.R.; Harding, C.L.; Fryer, P.J.; Thomas, C.R.; Al-Rubeai, M.; McFarlane, C.M. Growth and



- enumeration of the meta spoilage bacterium *Brochothrix thermosphacta*. *Int J Food Microbiol.* **1999**, *51*, 145–158.
70. Sousa Guedes, J.P.; de Souza, E.L. Investigation of damage to *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Salmonella Enteritidis* exposed to *Mentha arvensis* L. and *M. piperita* L. essential oils in pineapple and mango juice by flow cytometry. *Food Microbiol.* **2018**, *76*:564-571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.09.020>
71. Afari, G.K.; Hung, Y.C.; Detection and Verification of the Viable but Nonculturable (VBNC) State of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Listeria monocytogenes* Using Flow Cytometry and Standard Plating., *J Food Sci.* **2018**, *83*(7):1913-1920. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.14203>
72. Arnedo-Pena, A.; Bellido-Blasco, J.B.; Romeu-Garcia, M.A.; Meseguer-Ferrer, N.; Detection of foodborne *Salmonella* Typhimurium outbreaks, *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin.*, **2017**, *35*(7):470-471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eimc.2017.01.001>.
73. Seo, K.H.; Brackett, R.E.; Frank, J.F. Rapid detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 using immunomagnetic flow cytometry in ground beef, apple juice, and milk. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **1998**, *44*, 115-123, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605\(98\)00129-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(98)00129-9).
74. Suhren, G.; Walte, H.G. First experiences with automatic flow cytometric determination of total bacterial count in raw milk. *Kiel. Milchwirt. Forschungsber* **1998**, *50*, 249-275.
75. Holm, C.; Jernaes, L. A Flow-cytometric Gram-staining technique for milk-associated bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2003**, *69*, 2857–2863, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.5.2857-2863.2003>.
76. Iannelli, D.; D'Apice, L.; Fenizia, D.; Serpe, L.; Cottone, C.; Viscardi, M.; Capparelli, R. Simultaneous identification of antibodies to *Brucella abortus* and *Staphylococcus aureus* in milk samples by flow cytometry. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1998**, *36*, 802–806.
77. Meervenne, E.V.; de Weirdt, R.; Van Coillie, E.; Devlieghere, F.; Herman, L.; Boon, N. Biofilm models for the food industry: hot spots for plasmid transfer? *Pathogens and Disease* **2014**, *70*, 332–338, <https://doi.org/10.1111/2049-632X.12134>.
78. Lopez-Campos, G.; Martinez-Suarez, J.V.; Aguado-Urda, M.; Lopez Alonso, V. Chapter 2: Detection, Identification, and analysis of foodborne pathogens, In *Microarray Detection and Characterization of Bacterial Foodborne 13 Pathogens*, Springer Briefs in Food, Health, and Nutrition, **2012**; pp. 13-29, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3250-0\\_2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4614-3250-0_2).
79. Williams, A.; Cooper, M.; Summage-West, C.V.; Sims, L.M.; Woodruff, R.; Christman, J.; Moskal, T.J.; Ramsaroop, S.; Sutherland, J.B.; Alusta, P.; Wilkes, J.G.; Buzatu, D.A. Level 2 validation of a flow cytometric method for detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in raw spinach. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **2015**, *215*, 1–6, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2015.08.011>.
80. Xue, Y.; Wilkes, J.G.; Moska, T.J.; Williams, A.J.; Cooper, W.M.; Nayak, R.; Rafii, F.; Buzatu, D.A. Development of a flow cytometry-based method for rapid detection of *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* Spp. using an oligonucleotide probe. *Plos One* **2016**, *11*, <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0150038>.
81. Xue, Y.; Wilkes, J.G.; Moskal T.J.; Williams, A.J.; Cooper, W.M.; Buzatu, D.A.; Flow-Cytometry-Based Method to Detect *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* Spp. Using 16S rRNA-Based Probe. *Curr. Protoc. Toxicol.* **2017**, *71*:2.25.1-2.25.8. doi: 10.1002/cptx.14.
82. Joux, F.; Lebaron, P. Use of fluorescent probes to assess physiological functions of bacteria at single-cell level. *Microbes Infect.* **2000**, *2*, 1523–1535, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579\(00\)01307-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1286-4579(00)01307-1).
82. Czechowska, K.; Johnson, D.R.; D.R. van der Meer, D.R. Use of flow cytometric methods for single-cell analysis in environmental microbiology. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **2008**, *11*, 205–212, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2008.04.006>.
83. Hammes, F.; Egli, T. Cytometric methods for measuring bacteria in water: advantages, pitfalls and applications. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* **2010**, *397*, 1083-1095, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-3646-3>.
84. Dhib, A.; Denis, M.; Ziadi, B.; Barani, A.; Turki S.; Aleya, L. Assessing ultraphytoplankton and heterotrophic prokaryote composition by flow cytometry in a Mediterranean lagoon, *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* **2017**, *24*(15):13710-13721. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-8939-z>
85. Chisholm, S.W. Phytoplankton size. In *Falkowski, P.G. and Woodhead, A.D (eds), Primary Productivity and Biochemical Cycles in the Sea*. Plenum Press, New York, 1992; pp. 213–238, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-0762-2\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-0762-2_12).
86. Vaultot, D.; Partensky, F.; Neveux, J.; Mantoura, R.; Llewellyn, C. Wintertime presence of prochlorophytes in surface waters of the North-Western Mediterranean Sea. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **1990**, *35*, 1156–1164, <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1990.35.5.1156>.
87. Veldhuis, M.J.W.; Kraay, G.W. Vertical distribution and pigment composition of a picoplanktonic prochlorophyte in the subtropical N Atlantic: a combined study of HPLC-analysis of pigments and flow cytometry. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **1990**, *68*, 121–127, <http://dx.doi.org/10.3354/meps068121>.
88. Marie, D.; Simon, N.; Guillou, L.; Partensky, F.; Vaultot, D. Flow Cytometry Analysis of Marine Picoplankton. In *Living Color*, Part of the series Springer Lab Manuals, 2000; pp 421-454, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-57049-0\\_34](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-57049-0_34).
89. Van Nevel, S.; Koetzsch, S.; Proctor, C.R.; Besmer, M.D.; Prest E.I.; Vrouwenvelder, J.S.; Knezev, A.; Boon, N.; Hammes, F. Flow cytometric bacterial cell counts challenge conventional heterotrophic plate counts for routine microbiological drinking water monitoring. *Water Res.* **2017**, *113*:191-206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.065>.
90. Brussaard, C.P. Optimization of procedures for counting viruses by flow cytometry. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **2004**, *70*, 1506-1513, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.70.3.1506-1513.2004>.
91. Hiraoka, Y.; Yamada, T.; Tone, K.; Futaesaku, Y.; Kimbara, K. Flow cytometry analysis of changes in the DNA content of the polychlorinated biphenyl degrader *Comamonas testosteroni* TK102: effect of metabolites on cell–cell separation. *Appl Environ. Microbiol.* **2002**, *68*, 5104-5112, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.10.5104-5112.2002>.
92. Servais, P.; Casamayor, E.O.; Courties, C.; Catala, P.; Parthuisot, N.; Lebaron, P. Activity and diversity of bacterial cells with high and low nucleic acid content. *Aquat Microb Ecol.* **2003**, *33*, 41-51, <https://doi.org/10.3354/ame033041>.
93. Mou, X.; Moran, M.A.; Stepanauskas, R.; Gonzalez, J.M.; Hodson, R.E. Flow-cytometric cell sorting and subsequent molecular analyses for culture-independent identification of bacterioplankton involved in dimethylsulfoniopropionate transformations. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **2005**, *71*, 1405-1416,
94. Kleinstuber, S.; Riis, V.; Fetzer, I.; Harms, H.; Muller, S. Population dynamics within a microbial consortium during growth on diesel fuel in saline environments. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **2006**, *72*, 3531-3542,
95. Wiacek, C.; Muller, S.; Benndorf, D. A cytomic approach reveals population heterogeneity of *Cupriavidus necator* in response to harmful phenol concentrations. *Proteomics* **2006**, *6*, 5983-5994,
96. Bouvier, T.; Del Giorgio, P.A.; Gasol, J.M. A comparative study of the cytometric characteristics of high and low nucleic-



acid bacterioplankton cells from different aquatic ecosystems. *Environ Microbiol.* **2007**, *9*, 2050-2066.

97. Shi, L.; Muller, S.; Harms, H.; Wick, L.Y. Effect of electrokinetic transport on the vulnerability of PAH-degrading bacteria in a model aquifer. *Environ Geochem Health* **2008**, *30*, 177-182, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-008-9146-0>.

98. Nielsen, T.H.; Sjöholm, O.R.; Sørensen, J. Multiple physiological states of a *Pseudomonas fluorescens* DR54 biocontrol inoculant monitored by a new flow cytometry protocol. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* **2009**, *67*, 479-490, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008.00631.x>.

99. Ionescu, R.; Maruțescu, L.; Tanase, A.M.; Chiciudean, I.; Csutak, O.; Pelinescu, D.; Vassu, T.; Stoica, I. Flow cytometry based method for evaluation of biodegradative potential of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia* **2015**, *6*, 567 – 578, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aaspro.2015.08.088>.

100. Phe, M.H.; Dossot, M.; Guilloteau, H.; Block, J.C.; Highly chlorinated *Escherichia coli* cannot be stained by propidium iodide. *Can. J Microbiol.* **2007**, *53*, 664-670, <https://doi.org/10.1139/W07-022>.

101. Vital, M.; Fuchslin, H.P.; Hammes, F.; Egli, T. Growth of *Vibrio cholerae* O1 Ogawa Eltor in freshwater. *Microbiol.* **2007**, *153*, 1993-2001, <https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2006/005173-0>.

102. Pianetti, A.; Falcioni, T.; Bruscolini, F.; Sabatini, L.; Sisti, E.; Papa, S. Determination of the Viability of *Aeromonas hydrophila* in Different Types of Water by Flow Cytometry, and Comparison with Classical Methods. *Appl. Env. Microbiol.* **2005**, *71*, 7948-7954, <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.12.7948-7954.2005>.

103. Keserue, H.A.; Baumgartner, A.; Felleisen, R.; Egli, T. Rapid detection of total and viable *Legionella pneumophila* in tap water by immunomagnetic separation, double fluorescent staining and

flow cytometry. *Microb Biotechnol.* **2012**, *5*, 753-763, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-7915.2012.00366.x>.

104. Fuchslin, H.P.; Kötzsch, S.; Keserue, H.A.; Egli, T. Rapid and quantitative detection of *Legionella pneumophila* applying immunomagnetic separation and flow cytometry. *Cytometry A.* **2010**, *77*, 264-274, <https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.20858>.

105. Kirschner, A.K.T. Determination of viable *Legionellae* in engineered water systems: Do we find what we are looking for? *Water Research* **2016**, *93*, 276-288, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.02.016>.

106. Besmer, M.D.; Weissbrodt, D.G.; Kratochvil, B.E.; Sigrist, J.A.; Weyland, M.S.; Hammes, F. The feasibility of automated online flow cytometry for *in-situ* monitoring of microbial dynamics in aquatic ecosystems. *Front. in Microbiol.* **2014**, *5*, 265, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00265>.

107. Hammes, F.; Broger, T.; Weilenmann, H.U.; Vital, M.; Helbing, J.; Bosshart, U.; Huber, P.; Odermatt, R.P.; Sonnleitner, B. Development and laboratory-scale testing of a fully automated online flow cytometer for drinking water analysis. *Cytometry part A: the Journal of the International Society for Analytical Cytology* **2012**, *81*, 508-516, <https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.22048>.

108. Wang, Y.; Hammes, F.; De Roy, K.; Verstraete, W.; Boon, N. Past, present and future applications of flow cytometry in aquatic microbiology. *Trends Biotechnol.* **2010**, *28*, 416-424, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2010.04.006>.

109. De Roy, K.; Clement, L.; Thas, O.; Wang, Y.; Boon, N. Flow cytometry for fast microbial community fingerprinting. *Water Research.* **2012**, *46*, 907-919, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.11.076>.

110. Frossard, A.; Hammes, F.; Gessner, M.O. Flow Cytometric Assessment of Bacterial Abundance in Soils, Sediments and Sludge. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 903, <https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00903>.

## 8. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The financial support of the project PN-III-P4-ID-PCE-2016-0921 - Rapid flow cytometric method for microbial detection and antibiotic susceptibility assay directly from clinical specimens is gratefully acknowledged.



© 2019 by the authors. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).